

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,272	07/06/2001	Tao Chen	010368	7587
23696 OLLA I COMM	7590 03/20/2007 I INCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
5775 MOREH	OUSE DR.		MARCELO, MELVIN C	
SAN DIEGO,	, CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
		1		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t\_ssadik@qualcomm.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/900,272	CHEN, TAO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Melvin Marcelo	2616	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a wing replies: (1) an amen otice of Appeal (with appe	Notice of Appeal. To avoid abdment, affidavit, or other evideal fee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	n the mailing date of the final rejec	tion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		27 OFD 4 420(a) and the accord	into automaino fan
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	ktension and the correspondi shortened statutory period for than three months after the	ng amount of the fee. The appropor reply originally set in the final Of	oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	ths of the date of the appeal. Since
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of fili	ing a brief will not be entered	hocauco
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		aterially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice	of Non-Compliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		separate, timely filed amendm	nent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections u ry and was not earlier pre	nder appeal and/or appellant f sented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the clai	ms after entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the ap	oplication in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s	3)	1
13.  Other:		ALM	1
		Melvin Marcelo Primary Examine	r

Art Unit: 2616

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments are based on the new claim language "to transmit data based on a forthcoming event" which would require further consideration since the final rejection is still applicable to the current claims. With respect to the current claims, applicant's argument that Chen's schedule is based on a present request is not persuasive since a skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify Chen's schedule to incorporate future requests (Criss's teachings of pre-scheduled transmission for remote stations) for the reason that Chen teaches to classify all remote user transmissions as either unscheduled or scheduled and a pre-scheduled transmission would have been classified as scheduled.